



A guideline for reporting evaluative evidence in Court

Presenter(s) / Leader(s) / Moderator(s):

S. Willis¹, C. Aitken², A. Barret³, C. Berger⁴, A. Biedermann⁵, C. Champod⁵, T. Hicks⁵,
T. Lovelock⁶, J.J. Lucena Molina⁷, L. Lunt⁶, S. McDermott¹, L. McKenna¹, A. Nordgaard⁸,
G. O'Donnell¹, B. Rasmusson⁸, M. Sjerps⁴, F. Taroni⁵, G. Zadora⁹.

1 Forensic Science Ireland, Dublin, Ireland.

2 School of mathematics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom.

3 National Institute of Criminalistics and Criminology, INCC, Brussels, Belgium.

4 Netherlands Forensic Institute, NFI, The Hague, Netherlands.

5 School of Criminal Justice, University of Lausanne, Dorigny, Switzerland.

6 LGC Ltd, Forensic science, Risley, United Kingdom.

7 Criminalistic Service, Civil Guard, Madrid, Spain.

8 National Forensic Centre, Linköping, Sweden

9 Institute of Forensic Research, Kraków

Target audience:

The workshop is ideally suited for reporting forensic scientists and other participants of the legal process who are confronted with the interpretation of scientific evidence in context.

Maximal number of participants: 30

Minimum number of participants: 5

Duration of workshop: Half a day on the Wednesday

This workshop aims at engaging participants in a practical implementation of some of the key concepts of the new 'ENFSI guideline for evaluative reporting in forensic science' elaborated by the ENFSI Monopoly 2010 M1-project.

Content:

During this workshop, participants will first be given a general presentation on the project M1 'ENFSI guideline for evaluative reporting in forensic science', funded under the umbrella of the ENFSI 2010 monopoly project 'Strengthening the Evaluation of Forensic Results across Europe'.



Next, participants will work on exercises that focus on identifying key issues in typical case scenarios and formulating propositions as these are crucial steps for understanding the needs in the case and the elaboration of an evaluation that is tailored to these needs. The principles of interpretation as well as the concept of hierarchy of propositions will be re-visited in this part of the workshop.

In a second exercise, examples of conclusions and statements from forensic reports will be audited using the M1-guideline.

In the last part of the workshop, time will be devoted to a discussion and question-answer session between workshop participants and members of the ENFSI-M1 project core group.

Requirement:

There are no particular requirements but familiarity with the document 'ENFSI guideline for evaluative reporting in forensic science' would be a plus. To get a copy of the latest version of the guideline, please send an e-mail to: m1project_coregroup@icloud.com